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The BMS model is the family of probability measures on $S^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ formally given by
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with fractional power of Laplacian $\alpha=\frac{3+\epsilon}{4}, 0<\epsilon \ll 1$.
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Should correspond to critical scaling limit of long-range three-dimensional Ising model with ferromagnetic interactions $J_{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}} \sim|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}|^{-(d+\sigma)}, d=3, \sigma=\frac{3+\epsilon}{2}$.
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Given bare ansatz $\left(g_{r}, \mu_{r}\right)_{r \in \mathbb{Z}}$, one has well defined probability measures $d \nu_{r, s}(\phi)$ whose Radon-Nikodym derivative with respect to $d \mu_{C_{r}}(\phi)$ is proportional to

$$
\exp \left(-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \rho_{\mathrm{IR}, s}(x)\left\{g_{r}: \phi^{4}:(x)+\mu_{r}: \phi^{2}:(x)\right\} d^{3} x\right)
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with Wick ordering relative to $\mu_{C_{r}}$.
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The scale invariant BMS measure should be the weak limit $\nu_{\phi}=\lim _{r \rightarrow-\infty} \lim _{s \rightarrow \infty} \nu_{r, s}$ for a well chosen bare ansatz which mimics the scaling limit of a critical theory on the unit lattice.

## Conjecture 1:

Set $[\phi]=\frac{3-\epsilon}{4}$ for $\epsilon$ positive and small. Then there exists a nonempty interval $I \subset(0, \infty)$ and a function $\mu_{\mathrm{c}}: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that for all $g \in I$, if one sets $g_{r}=L^{-r(3-4[\phi])} g$ and $\mu_{r}=L^{-r(3-2[\phi])} \mu_{c}(g)$, the weak limit $\nu_{\phi}$ exists and is non-Gaussian, translation-invariant, $O(3)$-invariant, OS positive, and scale-invariant with exponent $[\phi]$, i.e., $\lambda^{[d]} \phi(\lambda \cdot) \stackrel{d d}{=} \phi(\cdot)$ for all $\lambda>0$.
Moreover, this limit is independent of $L$ and $g \in I$ as well as the choice of functions $\rho_{\mathrm{UV}}, \rho_{\mathrm{IR}}$.
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Measure constructed on finite torus by Mitter ( $\sim 2004$ ) using fixed point obtained by BMS, CMP 2003.
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are automatically continuous $n$-linear forms (Fernique 1967).
A probability measure $\mu$ is determined by correlations (DC) if it is MAO and the only MAO measure with the same sequence of moments $S_{n}$ as $\mu$ is $\mu$ itself.
By the nuclear theorem $S_{n}$ can be seen as an element of $S^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3 n}\right)$.
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Conjecture 2:
$\nu_{\phi}$ is DPC.
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Here, $\mathcal{M}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ is the Möbius group of global conformal maps and $J_{f}(x)$ denotes the Jacobian of $f$ at $x$.
Conj. 3 is a precise formulation of predictions in "Conformal invariance in the long-range Ising model" by Paulos, Rychkov, van Rees and Zan, arXiv:1509.00008[hep-th] - > Higher-dimensional conformal bootstrap.
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Let $p$ be an integer $>1$ (in fact a prime number).
Let $\mathbb{L}_{k}, k \in \mathbb{Z}$, be the set of boxes $\prod_{i=1}^{d}\left[a_{i} p^{k},\left(a_{i}+1\right) p^{k}\right)$ for $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{d} \in \mathbb{N}$. The cubes in $\mathbb{L}_{k}$ form a partition of the octant $[0, \infty)^{d}$.
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Then $\mathbb{T}=\cup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{L}_{k}$ naturally has the structure of a doubly infinite tree organized in layers or generations $\mathbb{L}_{k}$ :


Picture for $d=1, p=2$

Now forget about $[0, \infty)^{d}$ and $\mathbb{R}^{d}$.
Define the substitute for the continuum $\mathbb{Q}_{p}^{d}:=$ set of leafs at infinity " $L_{-\infty}$ ".

Now forget about $[0, \infty)^{d}$ and $\mathbb{R}^{d}$.
Define the substitute for the continuum $\mathbb{Q}_{p}^{d}:=$ set of leafs at infinity " $\mathbb{L}_{-\infty}$ ".
More precisely, this is the set of upward paths in the tree.


A path representing some $x \in \mathbb{Q}_{p}^{d}$

A point $x \in \mathbb{Q}_{p}^{d}$ encoded by sequence $\left(a_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$, $a_{n} \in\{0,1, \ldots, p-1\}^{d}$. Let $0 \in \mathbb{Q}_{p}^{d}$ correspond to sequence with all digits equal to zero.
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Moreover, scaling defined as follows
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Likewise $p^{-1} x$ is downward shift and so on for defining $p^{k} x$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.
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## 2) Distance

If $x, y \in \mathbb{Q}_{p}^{d}$, define their distance as $|x-y|:=p^{k}$ where $k$ is the depth where the bifurcation between the two paths occurs

also define $|x|:=|x-0|$. Because of the strange notation

$$
|p x|=p^{-1}|x|
$$

Closed balls $\Delta$ of radius $p^{k}$ correspond to points $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{L}_{k}$
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## 3) Lebesgue measure

Metric space $\mathbb{Q}_{p}^{d}->$ Borel $\sigma$-algebra $->$ Lebesgue measure $d^{d} x$ which gives measure $p^{d k}$ for closed ball of radius $p^{k}$.
3) Lebesgue measure

Metric space $\mathbb{Q}_{p}^{d}->$ Borel $\sigma$-algebra $->$ Lebesgue measure $d^{d} x$ which gives measure $p^{d k}$ for closed ball of radius $p^{k}$.

Construction: take product of uniform probability measures on $\left(\{0,1, \ldots, p-1\}^{d}\right)^{\mathbb{N}}$ for $\bar{B}(0,1)$ and similarly for other balls of radius 1 , then collate.
4) Massless Gaussian measure

## 4) Massless Gaussian measure



To any $G$ group of offsprings of site $\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{L}_{k+1}$ associate centered Gaussian vector $\left(\zeta_{\mathrm{x}}\right)_{\mathrm{x} \in G}$ with $p^{d} \times p^{d}$ covariance matrix with $1-p^{-d}$ on diagonal and $-p^{-d}$ everywhere else.
These vectors are set to be independent for different groups or layers.
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To any $G$ group of offsprings of site $\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{L}_{k+1}$ associate centered Gaussian vector $\left(\zeta_{\mathrm{x}}\right)_{\mathrm{x} \in G}$ with $p^{d} \times p^{d}$ covariance matrix with $1-p^{-d}$ on diagonal and $-p^{-d}$ everywhere else.
These vectors are set to be independent for different groups or layers. Note that $\sum_{\mathbf{x} \in G} \zeta_{\mathbf{x}}=0$ a.s.
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Massless Gaussian field $\phi(x), x \in \mathbb{Q}_{p}^{d}$ with engineering scaling dimension [ $\phi$ ] is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \phi(x)=\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} p^{-k[\phi]} \zeta_{\text {anc }_{k}(x)} \\
& \langle\phi(x) \phi(y)\rangle=\frac{c}{|x-y|^{2[\phi]}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Ancestor function: for $k<k^{\prime}, \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{L}_{k}$, let $\operatorname{anc}_{k^{\prime}}(\mathbf{x})$ be the ancestor in $\mathbb{L}_{k^{\prime}}$.
Likewise for $\operatorname{anc}_{k^{\prime}}(x)$ for $x \in \mathbb{Q}_{p}^{d}$.
Massless Gaussian field $\phi(x), x \in \mathbb{Q}_{p}^{d}$ with engineering scaling dimension [ $\phi$ ] is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \phi(x)=\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} p^{-k[\phi]} \zeta_{\operatorname{anc}_{k}(x)} \\
& \langle\phi(x) \phi(y)\rangle=\frac{c}{|x-y|^{2[\phi]}}
\end{aligned}
$$

only formal since $\phi$ not defined pointwise. Need random distributions.
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\begin{aligned}
S\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}^{d}\right) & :=\{\text { smooth compactly supported functions }\} \\
& =\cup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} S_{-n, n}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}^{d}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where for $t_{-} \leq t_{+}, S_{t_{-}, t_{+}}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}^{d}\right)$ is space of functions which are constant in closed boxes of radius $p^{t-}$ and support in $\bar{B}\left(0, p^{t_{+}}\right)$.

## 5) Test functions

$f: \mathbb{Q}_{p}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ smooth iff locally constant

$$
\begin{aligned}
S\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}^{d}\right) & :=\{\text { smooth compactly supported functions }\} \\
& =\cup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} S_{-n, n}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}^{d}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where for $t_{-} \leq t_{+}, S_{t_{-}, t_{+}}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}^{d}\right)$ is space of functions which are constant in closed boxes of radius $p^{t-}$ and support in $\bar{B}\left(0, p^{t_{+}}\right)$.

Topology generated by the set of all seminorms.
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$S^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}^{d}\right)$ is topological dual with weak-* topology.

$$
S\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}^{d}\right) \simeq \oplus_{\mathbb{N}} \mathbb{R}
$$

Thus

$$
S^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}^{d}\right) \simeq \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}
$$

with product topology $->$ Polish space.

Probability theory on $S^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}^{d}\right)$ is very nice!
(1) Prokhorov's Theorem
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(3) Levy's Continuity Theorem
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(3) Levy's Continuity Theorem
(4) Uniform convergence of characteristic functions in complex neighborhood of origin implies weak convergence of probability measures (use moments or Vitali's Theorem).
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(2) Bochner's Theorem
(3) Levy's Continuity Theorem
(4) Uniform convergence of characteristic functions in complex neighborhood of origin implies weak convergence of probability measures (use moments or Vitali's Theorem).
(5) Analytic RG and dynamical systems methods we introduced deliver exactly that.
(1) Prokhorov's Theorem
(2) Bochner's Theorem
(3) Levy's Continuity Theorem
(4) Uniform convergence of characteristic functions in complex neighborhood of origin implies weak convergence of probability measures (use moments or Vitali's Theorem).
(5) Analytic RG and dynamical systems methods we introduced deliver exactly that.
(6) $S^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}^{d}\right) \times S^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}^{d}\right) \simeq S^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}^{d}\right)$ so same tools work for joint law of pair of distributional random fields, e.g., $\left(\phi, N\left[\phi^{2}\right]\right)$.
7) p-adic BMS model
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Sample paths are functions that are locally constant at scale $L^{r}$.
Gaussian measures are scaled copies of each other.

## 7) p-adic BMS model

$d=3,[\phi]=\frac{3-\epsilon}{4}, L=p^{\prime} \mathrm{RG}$ step
$r \in \mathbb{Z}$ UV cut-off, $r \rightarrow-\infty$
$s \in \mathbb{Z} \operatorname{IR}$ cut-off, $s \rightarrow \infty$
Cut-off Gaussian measure $\mu_{c_{r}}$ is law of

$$
\phi_{r}(x)=\sum_{k=I r}^{\infty} p^{-k[\phi]} \zeta_{\operatorname{anc}_{k}(x)}
$$

Sample paths are functions that are locally constant at scale $L^{r}$.
Gaussian measures are scaled copies of each other. If law of $\phi(\cdot)$ is $\mu c_{0}$, then law of $L^{-r[\phi]} \phi\left(L^{r}\right)$ is $\mu c_{r}$.

Introduce fixed parameters $g, \mu$ and cut-off dependent couplings $g_{r}=L^{-(3-4[\phi]) r} g$ and $\mu_{r}=L^{-(3-2[\phi]) r} \mu$.

Introduce fixed parameters $g, \mu$ and cut-off dependent couplings $g_{r}=L^{-(3-4[\phi]) r} g$ and $\mu_{r}=L^{-(3-2[\phi]) r} \mu$.

Let $\Lambda_{s}=\bar{B}\left(0, L^{s}\right)$, volume or IR cut-off.

Introduce fixed parameters $g, \mu$ and cut-off dependent couplings $g_{r}=L^{-(3-4[\phi]) r} g$ and $\mu_{r}=L^{-(3-2[\phi]) r} \mu$.

Let $\Lambda_{s}=\bar{B}\left(0, L^{s}\right)$, volume or IR cut-off.
Let

$$
V_{r, s}(\phi)=\int_{\Lambda_{s}}\left\{g_{r}: \phi^{4}: c_{r}(x)+\mu_{r}: \phi^{2}: c_{r}(x)\right\} d^{3} x
$$

and define the probability measure

$$
d \nu_{r, s}(\phi)=\frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}_{r, s}} e^{-V_{r, s}(\phi)} d \mu_{C_{r}}(\phi)
$$

Let $\phi_{r, s}$ random variable in $S^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}^{3}\right)$ sampled according to $\nu_{r, s}$ and define square field $N_{r}\left[\phi_{r, s}^{2}\right]$ which is deterministic $S^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}^{3}\right)$-valued function of $\phi_{r, s}$ given by

$$
N_{r}\left[\phi_{r, s}^{2}\right](j)=Z_{2}^{r} \int_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}^{3}}\left\{Y_{2}: \phi_{r, s}^{2}: c_{r}(x)-Y_{0} L^{-2 r[\phi]}\right\} j(x) d^{3} x
$$

$Z_{2}, Y_{0}, Y_{2}$ are parameters to be adjusted.

Let $\phi_{r, s}$ random variable in $S^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}^{3}\right)$ sampled according to $\nu_{r, s}$ and define square field $N_{r}\left[\phi_{r, s}^{2}\right]$ which is deterministic $S^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}^{3}\right)$-valued function of $\phi_{r, s}$ given by

$$
N_{r}\left[\phi_{r, s}^{2}\right](j)=Z_{2}^{r} \int_{\mathbb{Q}_{Q}^{3}}\left\{Y_{2}: \phi_{r, s}^{2}: c_{r}(x)-Y_{0} L^{-2 r[\phi]}\right\} j(x) d^{3} x
$$

$Z_{2}, Y_{0}, Y_{2}$ are parameters to be adjusted.
Our main result concerns the limit law of the pair $\left(\phi_{r, s}, N_{r}\left[\phi_{r, s}^{2}\right]\right)$ in $S^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}^{3}\right) \times S^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}^{3}\right)$ when $r \rightarrow-\infty, s \rightarrow \infty$ regardless of the order of limits.
Will need approximate fixed point coupling

$$
\bar{g}_{*}=\frac{p^{\epsilon}-1}{36 L^{\epsilon}\left(1-p^{-3}\right)}
$$
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Theorem 1: A.A.-Chandra-Guadagni 2013
$\exists \rho, \exists L_{0}, \forall L \geq L_{0}, \exists \epsilon_{0}>0, \forall \epsilon\left(0, \epsilon_{0}\right], \exists\left[\phi^{2}\right]>2[\phi], \exists$ functions $\mu(g), Y_{0}(g), Y_{2}(g)$ on interval $\left(\bar{g}_{*}-\rho \epsilon^{\frac{3}{2}}, \bar{g}_{*}+\rho \epsilon^{\frac{3}{2}}\right)$ such that if one sets $\mu=\mu(g), Y_{0}=Y_{0}(g), Y_{2}=Y_{2}(g)$ and $Z_{2}=$ $L^{-\left(\left[\phi^{2}\right]-2[\phi]\right)}$ then the law of ( $\left.\phi_{r, s}, N_{r}\left[\phi_{r, s}^{2}\right]\right)$ converges weakly and in the sense of moments to that of a pair $\left(\phi, N\left[\phi^{2}\right]\right)$ such that:
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For the $p$-adic BMS model we also proved $\left[\phi^{2}\right]-2[\phi]=\frac{1}{3} \epsilon+o(\epsilon)$ as expected in Euclidean BMS model.
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For the $p$-adic BMS model we also proved $\left[\phi^{2}\right]-2[\phi]=\frac{1}{3} \epsilon+o(\epsilon)$ as expected in Euclidean BMS model.

Not too far, if one sets $\epsilon=1$, from 3D short-range Ising for which recent progress in conformal bootstrap gives $\left[\phi^{2}\right]-2[\phi]=0.3763 \ldots$ (Simmons-Duffin 2015).

The law $\nu_{\phi \times \phi^{2}}$ of $\left(\phi, N\left[\phi^{2}\right]\right)$ is independent of $g$ : universality

Theorem 2: A.A.-Chandra-Guadagni 2013
$\nu_{\phi \times \phi^{2}}$ is fully scale invariant, i.e., invariant under action of $p^{\mathbb{Z}}$ instead of just $L^{\mathbb{Z}}$. Moreover, $\mu(g)$ and $\left[\phi^{2}\right]$ independent of RG step $L$.
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Two point functions given as distributions by
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Two point functions given as distributions by

$$
\begin{gathered}
\langle\phi(x) \phi(y)\rangle=\frac{c_{1}}{|x-y|^{2[\phi]}} \\
\left\langle N\left[\phi^{2}\right](x) N\left[\phi^{2}\right](y)\right\rangle=\frac{c_{2}}{|x-y|^{2\left[\phi^{2}\right]}}
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Note that $3-2\left[\phi^{2}\right]=3-\frac{1}{3} \epsilon+o(\epsilon)->$ still $L^{1, \text { loc }}$ !

## Theorem 3: A.A., May 2015

Let $\psi_{i}$ denote $\phi$ or $N\left[\phi^{2}\right]$. Then for every mixed correlation $\exists$ smooth function $\left\langle\psi_{1}\left(z_{1}\right) \cdots \psi_{n}\left(z_{n}\right)\right\rangle$ on $\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}^{3}\right)^{n} \backslash$ Diag which is locally integrable (even on Diag) such that
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Namely, $\nu_{\phi \times \phi^{2}}$ satisfies the DPC property or the analogue of Conj. 2.
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The AdS bulk is the tree $\mathbb{T}$ with the graph distance. Analogue of hyperbolic metric.

Mumford-Manin-Drinfeld Cross-Ratio Lemma

$$
C R\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right):=\frac{\left|x_{1}-x_{3}\right|\left|x_{2}-x_{4}\right|}{\left|x_{1}-x_{4}\right|\left|x_{2}-x_{3}\right|}=p^{-\delta\left(x_{1} \rightarrow x_{2} ; x_{3} \rightarrow x_{4}\right)}
$$

where $\delta\left(x_{1} \rightarrow x_{2} ; x_{3} \rightarrow x_{4}\right)$ is the number of common edges in bi-infinite paths $x_{1} \rightarrow x_{2}$ and $x_{3} \rightarrow x_{4}$, counted positively if orientations agree and negatively otherwise.
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Using the cross-ratio lemma, one can again establish a one-to-one correspondence: $f \in \mathcal{M}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}^{3}\right) \leftrightarrow$ hyperbolic isometry of the bulk $\mathbb{T}$.

Rigorous RG for space-dependent couplings in ACG 2013 - > space-dependent cut-offs $->$ Conj. 3. by showing equivalence of usual upper half-space cut-off with conformal ball cut-off.
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## Je te souhaite encore beaucoup d'années, et encore beaucoup d'étudiants.

## Joyeux Anniversaire!

