The Coulomb gas in two dimensions

David C. Brydges Prof. emeritus Mathematics Department University of British Columbia

60th Birthday of Vincent Rivasseau Paris, Nov 2015

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Charles Augustin de Coulomb 1736 - 1806



Les Sciences sont des monumens consacrés au bien public; chaque citoyen leur doit un tribut proportionné à sès talens. Tandis que les grands hommes, portés au sommet de l'édifice, tracent et élèvent les étages supérieurs, les artistes ordinaires répandus dans les étages inférieurs, ou cachés dans l'obscurité des fondemens, doivent seulement chercher à perfectionner ce que des mains plus habiles ont créé.

• charged particle (x, σ)

- charged particle (x, σ)
- $x \in \Lambda$, 2d lattice with periodic bc

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

- ► charged particle (x, σ)
- $x \in \Lambda$, 2d lattice with periodic bc

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

 $\blacktriangleright \sigma = \pm 1$

- charged particle (x, σ)
- $x \in \Lambda$, 2d lattice with periodic bc

• $\sigma = \pm 1$

• pairwise interaction $\sigma \sigma'(-\Delta)^{-1}_{x,x'}$

- charged particle (x, σ)
- $x \in \Lambda$, 2d lattice with periodic bc

• $\sigma = \pm 1$

- pairwise interaction $\sigma \sigma'(-\Delta)^{-1}_{x,x'}$
- configuration $\omega = (x_i, \sigma_i)_{i=1,...,n_{\omega}}$

- ► charged particle (x, σ)
- $x \in \Lambda$, 2d lattice with periodic bc

• $\sigma = \pm 1$

- pairwise interaction $\sigma \sigma'(-\Delta)^{-1}_{x,x'}$
- configuration $\omega = (x_i, \sigma_i)_{i=1,...,n_{\omega}}$
- ω has energy $H_{\Lambda}(\omega) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n_{\omega}} \sigma_i \sigma_j (-\Delta)_{x,y}^{-1}$

- ► charged particle (x, σ)
- $x \in \Lambda$, 2d lattice with periodic bc

 \bullet $\sigma = \pm 1$

- pairwise interaction $\sigma \sigma'(-\Delta)^{-1}_{x,x'}$
- configuration $\omega = (x_i, \sigma_i)_{i=1,...,n_{\omega}}$
- ω has energy $H_{\Lambda}(\omega) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n_{\omega}} \sigma_i \sigma_j (-\Delta)_{x,y}^{-1}$

$$\blacktriangleright \ Z_{\Lambda}(\beta, z) = \lim_{m^2 \to 0} \sum_{\omega \in \Omega} \frac{z_{\omega}^n}{n_{\omega}!} e^{-\beta H_{\Lambda}(\omega)}.$$

$$p_1 = (a, \eta), \qquad p_2 = (b, -\eta),$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

$$p_1 = (a, \eta), \qquad p_2 = (b, -\eta),$$

(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、 E) の(の)

$$\blacktriangleright Z^{p_1,p_2}_{\Lambda}(\beta,z) = \sum_{\omega \in \Omega} \frac{z_{\omega}^n}{n_{\omega}!} e^{-\beta H_{\Lambda}(\omega \wedge \{p_1,p_2\})}$$

$$p_1 = (a, \eta), \qquad p_2 = (b, -\eta),$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

• define
$$\rho_{\eta}(a - b) = \lim_{\Lambda \to \infty} \frac{Z_{\Lambda}^{\rho_1, \rho_2}(\beta, z)}{Z_{\Lambda}(\beta, z)}$$

$$p_1 = (a, \eta), \qquad p_2 = (b, -\eta),$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

$$\blacktriangleright \ Z_{\Lambda}^{p_1,p_2}(\beta,z) = \sum_{\omega \in \Omega} \frac{z_{\omega}^n}{n_{\omega}!} e^{-\beta H_{\Lambda}(\omega \wedge \{p_1,p_2\})}$$

• define
$$\rho_{\eta}(a-b) = \lim_{\Lambda \to \infty} \frac{Z_{\Lambda}^{\rho_1,\rho_2}(\beta,z)}{Z_{\Lambda}(\beta,z)}$$

•
$$\rho_{\eta}(a-b)$$
 is $e^{-\beta\delta(\text{Energy})}$.

Debye and Hückel 1923



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ○□ のへで

Theorem (Wei-Shih Yang 1987)

For the grand canonical Coulomb system on \mathbb{R}^2 with β small depending in an implicit way on z, $\rho_\eta(a, b)$ decays exponentially to $\rho_\eta(a)\rho_\eta(b)$ as $|a - b| \to \infty$.

Open problems: (1) implicit hypothesis on z; (2) free boundary conditions to relate to Fröhlich results; (3) extend range of β (4) $\beta < \text{KT}$ transition for lattice model?

Theorem (Fröhlich-Spencer 1981)

For the Coulomb system on \mathbb{Z}^2 exponential screening of fractional charges does not hold for β large.

Fröhlich, J. (1976). Classical and quantum statistical mechanics in one and two dimensions: two-component Yukwa- and Coulomb systems. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 47(3):233–268

Yang, W.-S. (1987). Debye screening for two-dimensional Coulomb systems at high temperatures. J. Statist. Phys., 49:1–32

Fröhlich, J. and Spencer, T. (1981). The Kosterlitz-Thouless transition in two-dimensional abelian spin systems and the Coulomb gas. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 81(4):527–602

KT Picture



$$\begin{split} &\beta_{\rm eff} := \text{ where trajectories cross horizontal axis.} \\ &\rho(a-b) \text{ decays as } |a-b|^{-2\kappa} \text{ for } \eta \in (0,\frac{1}{2}] \text{ and } \beta_{\rm eff} \geq 8\pi. \\ &\kappa = \frac{\beta_{\rm eff}}{4\pi} \eta^2 \text{ with log corrections for } \beta_{\rm eff} = 8\pi. \end{split}$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Berezinskiï, V. L. (1970). Destruction of long-range order in one-dimensional and two-dimensional systems having a continuous symmetry group. 1. Classical systems. Ž. Eksper. Teoret. Fiz., 59:907–920

Kosterlitz, M. and Thouless, D. J. (1973). Ordering, metastability and phase transitions in two-dimensions. J. Phys. C, 6:1181-1203

Kosterlitz, J. M. (1974). The critical properties of the two-dimensional xy model. *Journal of Physics C: Solid State Physics*, 7(6):1046

Theorem (Pierluigi Falco, 2013)

KT picture, including differential equations for trajectories, holds with explicit log corrections to κ for $\beta_{\text{eff}} = 8\pi$ and z small.



The FS result was improved to $\beta_{\text{eff}} > 8\pi$.

Falco, P. (2012). Kosterlitz-Thouless transition line for the two dimensional Coulomb gas. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 312(2):559–609

Falco, P. (2013). Critical exponents of the two dimensional coulomb gas at the Berezinskii-Kosterlitz-Thouless transition. http://arxiv.org/abs/1311.2237

Marchetti, D. H. U. and Klein, A. (1991). Power-law falloff in two-dimensional Coulomb gases at inverse temperature $\beta > 8\pi$. J. Statist. Phys., 64(1-2):135–162

Sine–Gordon transformation

Gaussian field:

$$\mathbb{E}[\varphi_{\mathsf{X}}\varphi_{\mathsf{Y}}] = (-\Delta)^{-1}(\mathsf{X},\mathsf{Y})$$

Sine–Gordon transformation

► Gaussian field:

$$\mathbb{E}[\varphi_x \varphi_y] = (-\Delta)^{-1}(x, y)$$

• define phase:
$$e_{x,\sigma} = e^{i\sigma\sqrt{\beta}\varphi_x}$$

Sine–Gordon transformation

► Gaussian field:

$$\mathbb{E}[\varphi_{\mathsf{x}}\varphi_{\mathsf{y}}] = (-\Delta)^{-1}(\mathsf{x},\mathsf{y})$$

• define phase:
$$e_{x,\sigma} = e^{i\sigma\sqrt{\beta}\varphi_x}$$

Sine–Gordon transformation:

$$Z_{\Lambda}(\beta, z) = \mathbb{E} \underbrace{e^{\sum_{x,\sigma} z e_{x,\sigma}}}_{\text{ideal gas, activity, ze}}$$

ideal gas, activity $ze_{x,\sigma}$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

Sine-Gordon transformation

Gaussian field:

►

$$\mathbb{E}[\varphi_{\mathsf{x}}\varphi_{\mathsf{y}}] = (-\Delta)^{-1}(\mathsf{x},\mathsf{y})$$

• define phase:
$$e_{x,\sigma} = e^{i\sigma\sqrt{\beta}\varphi_x}$$

Sine–Gordon transformation:

$$Z_{\Lambda}(\beta, z) = \mathbb{E} \underbrace{e^{\sum_{x,\sigma} z e_{x,\sigma}}}_{\text{ideal gas activity } z e_x}$$

ideal gas, activity $ze_{x,\sigma}$

$$\rho_{\eta}(\boldsymbol{a}-\boldsymbol{b}) = \lim_{\Lambda \to \infty} \langle \boldsymbol{e}_{\boldsymbol{a},\eta} \boldsymbol{e}_{\boldsymbol{b},-\eta} \rangle_{\Lambda}.$$

• Generating function in torus of side L^R

$$\Omega_{\mathcal{R}}(J,\Lambda) = \mathbb{E}e^{\sum_{x,\sigma}(ze_{x,\sigma}+J_{x,\sigma}e_{x,\sigma\eta})}$$

• Generating function in torus of side L^R

$$\Omega_{R}(J,\Lambda) = \mathbb{E}e^{\sum_{x,\sigma}(ze_{x,\sigma}+J_{x,\sigma}e_{x,\sigma\eta})}$$

• rescale φ by $\sqrt{1-s}$



Generating function in torus of side L^R

$$\Omega_{R}(J,\Lambda) = \mathbb{E}e^{\sum_{x,\sigma}(ze_{x,\sigma}+J_{x,\sigma}e_{x,\sigma\eta})}$$

- rescale φ by $\sqrt{1-s}$
- β in $e_{x,\sigma}$ becomes $\alpha^2 = \beta(1-s)$

• Generating function in torus of side L^R

$$\Omega_{R}(J,\Lambda) = \mathbb{E}e^{\sum_{x,\sigma}(ze_{x,\sigma}+J_{x,\sigma}e_{x,\sigma\eta})}$$

• rescale φ by $\sqrt{1-s}$

•
$$\beta$$
 in $e_{x,\sigma}$ becomes $\alpha^2 = \beta(1-s)$

$$\Omega_{R}(J,\Lambda) = \mathbb{E}\left[e^{V_{,0}(J,\varphi)}\right]$$
$$V_{,0}(J,\varphi), = \underbrace{\sum_{x,\mu} \frac{s}{2} (\partial^{\mu}\varphi_{x})^{2}}_{\text{counterterm}} + \sum_{x,\sigma} (ze_{x,\sigma} + J_{x,\sigma}e_{x,\sigma\eta})$$

Generating function in torus of side L^R

$$\Omega_{R}(J,\Lambda) = \mathbb{E}e^{\sum_{x,\sigma}(ze_{x,\sigma}+J_{x,\sigma}e_{x,\sigma\eta})}$$

• rescale φ by $\sqrt{1-s}$

•
$$\beta$$
 in $e_{x,\sigma}$ becomes $\alpha^2 = \beta(1-s)$

$$\Omega_{R}(J,\Lambda) = \mathbb{E}\left[e^{V_{,0}(J,\varphi)}\right]$$
$$V_{,0}(J,\varphi), = \underbrace{\sum_{x,\mu} \frac{s}{2} (\partial^{\mu}\varphi_{x})^{2}}_{\text{counterterm}} + \sum_{x,\sigma} (ze_{x,\sigma} + J_{x,\sigma}e_{x,\sigma\eta})$$

Dropping terms that cancel with denominator

$$\blacktriangleright \mathsf{RG}: \varphi = \zeta_1 + \dots + \zeta_R$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆目▶ ◆目▶ ◆□▶

$$\blacktriangleright \mathsf{RG}: \varphi = \zeta_1 + \dots + \zeta_R$$

• \mathbb{E}_j integrates out the fluctuation field ζ_j .

$$\blacktriangleright \mathsf{RG}: \varphi = \zeta_1 + \dots + \zeta_R$$

• \mathbb{E}_j integrates out the fluctuation field ζ_j .

• Starting with
$$\Omega_0(J,\varphi) = e^{V_{,0}(J,\varphi)}$$
,

$$\Omega_{j+1}(J,\varphi) = \mathbb{E}_j \left[\Omega_j (J,\varphi + \zeta_j) \right]$$

$$\blacktriangleright \mathsf{RG}: \varphi = \zeta_1 + \dots + \zeta_R$$

• \mathbb{E}_j integrates out the fluctuation field ζ_j .

• Starting with
$$\Omega_0(J, \varphi) = e^{V_{,0}(J, \varphi)}$$
,

$$\Omega_{j+1}(J,\varphi) = \mathbb{E}_j \left[\Omega_j (J,\varphi + \zeta_j) \right]$$

constructs $\Omega_{R}(J,\varphi)$

Begin definition of RG:



- bulk coupling constants s_j, z_j
- Real valued observable coupling constants Z_j, \overline{Z}_j
- K_j in Banach space

Definition of vertical arrows: step 1

Given $(s_j, z_j, \overline{Z}_j) \in \mathbb{R}^4$, define functions of $\Phi = (J, \varphi)$.

$$V_{0,j}(\Phi, B) = \sum_{x \in B, \mu} \frac{s_j}{2} (\partial^{\mu} \varphi_x)^2 + L^{-2j} \sum_{x \in B, \sigma = \pm} z_j e_{x,\sigma}$$

・ロト・日本・モート モー うへぐ

 $V_{,j}(\Phi,B) = V_{0,j}(\Phi,B) + V_{1,j}(\Phi,B)$

Definition of vertical arrows: step 2

Let

$$U_j(\Phi,B) = V_{,j}(\Phi,B) + W_{,j}(\Phi,B)$$

where $W_j(\Phi, B)$ is another explicit function of $\Phi = (J, \varphi)$ defined by $(s_j, z_j, Z_j, \overline{Z}_j)$.

It is given by a LARGE formula obtained from second order perturbation theory.

Definition of vertical arrows



Given $K_j : X \mapsto$ function of $(\varphi_x, J_x)_{x \in X^{\square}}$ Ω_j is expressed in terms of (U_j, K_j) using

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

$$\Omega_j(\Phi, \Lambda) = \sum_{X \in \mathcal{P}_j} e^{U_j(\Phi, \Lambda \setminus X)} \prod_{Y \in \mathcal{C}_j(X)} K_j(\Phi, Y).$$

 K_j is there to include the remainder after second order perturbation theory.

Summary, so far



U_j determined by coupling constants (s_j, z_j, Z_j, Z
_j)
 Ω_j(Φ, Λ) = Σ_{X∈P_j} e^{U_j(Φ,Λ\X)} Π_{Y∈C_j(X)} K_j(Φ, Y),

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Theorem $(\exists RG)$

For all j such that (s_j, z_j) is small, K_j is $O(s_j, z_j)^3$ uniformly in j, and (s_j, z_j) follows the KT picture:

$$s_{j+1} \approx s_j - az_j^2$$
, $z_{j+1} \approx L^2 e^{-\frac{\alpha^2}{2} \operatorname{Var}(\zeta_j)} [z_j - bs_j z_j]$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Theorem $(\exists RG)$

For all j such that (s_j, z_j) is small, K_j is $O(s_j, z_j)^3$ uniformly in j, and (s_j, z_j) follows the KT picture:

$$s_{j+1} \approx s_j - az_j^2$$
, $z_{j+1} \approx L^2 e^{-\frac{\alpha^2}{2} \operatorname{Var}(\zeta_j)} [z_j - bs_j z_j]$

Theorem (Best choice of s)

For $\alpha^2 = 8\pi$ and $z_0 = z$ small, there is a unique $s_0 = s_0(z)$ such that (s_j, z_j, K_j) is in the domain of RG for all scales j and (s_R, z_R, K_R) tends to zero in the infinite volume limit $R \to \infty$.

Theorem $(\exists RG)$

For all j such that (s_j, z_j) is small, K_j is $O(s_j, z_j)^3$ uniformly in j, and (s_j, z_j) follows the KT picture:

$$s_{j+1} \approx s_j - az_j^2$$
, $z_{j+1} \approx L^2 e^{-\frac{\alpha^2}{2} \operatorname{Var}(\zeta_j)} [z_j - bs_j z_j]$

Theorem (Best choice of s)

For $\alpha^2 = 8\pi$ and $z_0 = z$ small, there is a unique $s_0 = s_0(z)$ such that (s_j, z_j, K_j) is in the domain of RG for all scales j and (s_R, z_R, K_R) tends to zero in the infinite volume limit $R \to \infty$.

 $z_R \rightarrow 0$ means there are no monopoles at macroscopic scales. $s_R \rightarrow 0$ means that dipoles, quadrupoles, ... absorbed into gaussian $\alpha \varphi$.

$$s_{j+1} \approx s_j - az_j^2$$
, $z_{j+1} \approx L^2 e^{-\frac{\alpha^2}{2} \operatorname{Var}(\zeta_j)} [z_j - bs_j z_j]$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

$$s_{j+1} \approx s_j - az_j^2$$
, $z_{j+1} \approx L^2 e^{-\frac{\alpha^2}{2} \operatorname{Var}(\zeta_j)} [z_j - bs_j z_j]$

Since $\operatorname{Var}(\zeta_j) \sim \frac{1}{2\pi} \log L$ as $j \to \infty$,

$$L^2 e^{-rac{lpha^2}{2}\mathsf{Var}(\zeta_j)} \sim L^{2-rac{lpha^2}{4\pi}}$$

$$s_{j+1} \approx s_j - az_j^2$$
, $z_{j+1} \approx L^2 e^{-\frac{\alpha^2}{2} \operatorname{Var}(\zeta_j)} [z_j - bs_j z_j]$

Since $\operatorname{Var}(\zeta_j) \sim \frac{1}{2\pi} \log L$ as $j \to \infty$,

$$L^2 e^{-rac{lpha^2}{2}\mathsf{Var}(\zeta_j)} \sim L^{2-rac{lpha^2}{4\pi}}$$

So if

$$\alpha^2 = 8\pi$$
, KT point

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

then z_j is marginal.

$$s_{j+1} \approx s_j - az_j^2$$
, $z_{j+1} \approx L^2 e^{-\frac{\alpha^2}{2} \operatorname{Var}(\zeta_j)} [z_j - bs_j z_j]$

Since $\operatorname{Var}(\zeta_j) \sim \frac{1}{2\pi} \log L$ as $j \to \infty$,

$$L^2 e^{-rac{lpha^2}{2}\mathsf{Var}(\zeta_j)} \sim L^{2-rac{lpha^2}{4\pi}}$$

So if

$$\alpha^2 = 8\pi$$
, KT point

then z_i is marginal.

To have $\alpha^2 = 8\pi$, by the definition $\alpha^2 = (1 - s_0(z))\beta$, get formula for KT critical (β, z) line

$$\beta = \frac{8\pi}{1 - s_0(z)}$$

Calculation of $\rho(a, b)$

After R steps Λ becomes a single block so that

$$\Omega_R(\Phi, \Lambda) = e^{U_R(\Phi, \Lambda)} + \mathcal{K}_R(\Phi, \Lambda).$$

Put this into

$$ho_\eta(x,y) = rac{1}{\Omega_R(\Phi,\Lambda)} rac{\partial^2 \Omega_R(\Phi,\Lambda)}{\partial J_x \partial J_y} \Big|_{J=0}.$$

In the infinite volume limit $R \to \infty$, K_R becomes zero and makes no contribution.

 $\rho(a, b)$ is completely determined by the double derivative of $W_{,R}$ and the (s, z, Z, \overline{Z}) flow.

Recall the magenta arrow





$$\Omega_j = \sum_{X \in \mathcal{P}_j} e^{U_j(\Phi, \Lambda \setminus X)} \prod_{Y \in \mathcal{C}_j(X)} K_j(\Phi, Y).$$

In each small block expand

$$\varphi = \varphi' + \frac{\zeta_j}{\zeta_j}$$

$$e^{U_j(\varphi'+\zeta_j)}=e^{U_{j+1}(\varphi')}+$$
difference.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ



$$\Omega_{j} = \sum_{X \in \mathcal{P}_{j}} e^{U_{j}(\Phi, \Lambda \setminus X)} \prod_{Y \in \mathcal{C}_{j}(X)} K_{j}(\Phi, Y).$$

In each small block expand

$$\varphi=\varphi'+\pmb{\zeta_j}$$

$$e^{U_j(\varphi'+\zeta_j)}=e^{U_{j+1}(\varphi')}+$$
difference.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

Sum over configurations with fixed closure X.



$$\Omega_j = \sum_{X \in \mathcal{P}_j} e^{U_j(\Phi, \Lambda \setminus X)} \prod_{Y \in \mathcal{C}_j(X)} K_j(\Phi, Y).$$

In each small block expand

$$\varphi = \varphi' + \underline{\zeta_j}$$

$$e^{U_j(\varphi'+\zeta_j)}=e^{U_{j+1}(\varphi')}+{
m difference}.$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Sum over configurations with fixed closure X.



$$\Omega_j = \sum_{X \in \mathcal{P}_j} e^{U_j(\Phi, \Lambda \setminus X)} \prod_{Y \in \mathcal{C}_j(X)} K_j(\Phi, Y).$$

In each small block expand

$$\varphi=\varphi'+{\pmb{\zeta}}_{\pmb{j}}$$

$$e^{U_j(\varphi'+\zeta_j)}=e^{U_{j+1}(\varphi')}+$$
difference.

Sum over configurations with fixed closure X.

Finite range: expectation factors over connected components.



$$\Omega_j = \sum_{X \in \mathcal{P}_j} e^{U_j(\Phi, \Lambda \setminus X)} \prod_{Y \in \mathcal{C}_j(X)} K_j(\Phi, Y).$$

In each small block expand

$$\varphi = \varphi' + \underline{\zeta_j}$$

$$e^{U_j(\varphi'+\zeta_j)}=e^{U_{j+1}(\varphi')}+$$
difference.

Sum over configurations with fixed closure X.

Finite range: expectation factors over connected components.

For a connected union X of big blocks,

$$K_{j+1}(X) = \mathbb{E}_j ($$
sum over ways to fill $X).$

Linear part on small sets

 $X \mapsto K_{j+1}(X)$ is a power series in K_j . The linear term in this series is

$$X \mapsto \sum_{Y:\overline{Y}=X, |Y|_j \leq 2^d} \mathbb{E}K_j(Y)$$

when coupling constants are zero.

By very general arguments, the theorems above reduce to showing that this part of K_{j+1} is contractive as a function of K_j .

Brydges, D. C. (2009). Lectures on the renormalisation group. In Statistical Mechanics, volume 16 of IAS/Park City Math. Ser., pages 7–93. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI Brydges, D. and Yau, H.-T. (1990). Grad ϕ perturbations of massless Gaussian fields. Comm. Math. Phys., 129(2):351–392

Example

Consider a scale j + 1 block B.



The linearisation of $\mathcal{K}_j\mapsto \mathcal{K}_{j+1}(B)$ is $\sum_{b\in \mathcal{B}_j(B)}\mathcal{K}_j(b)$

For a generic K_j , it would expand by L^2 because there are L^2 little blocks *b* inside *B*.



Vertical arrows:

$$\Omega_j(\Phi, \Lambda) = \sum_{X \in \mathcal{P}_j} e^{U_j(\Phi, \Lambda \setminus X)} \prod_{Y \in \mathcal{C}_j(X)} K_j(\Phi, Y), \qquad \Phi = (J, \varphi)$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

• U_j determined by coupling constants $(s_j, z_j, \overline{Z}_j)$:



Vertical arrows:

$$\Omega_j(\Phi, \Lambda) = \sum_{X \in \mathcal{P}_j} e^{U_j(\Phi, \Lambda \setminus X)} \prod_{Y \in \mathcal{C}_j(X)} K_j(\Phi, Y), \qquad \Phi = (J, \varphi)$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

• U_j determined by coupling constants $(s_j, z_j, \overline{Z}_j)$:



Vertical arrows:

$$\Omega_j(\Phi, \Lambda) = \sum_{X \in \mathcal{P}_j} e^{U_j(\Phi, \Lambda \setminus X)} \prod_{Y \in \mathcal{C}_j(X)} K_j(\Phi, Y), \qquad \Phi = (J, \varphi)$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

• U_j determined by coupling constants $(s_j, z_j, \overline{Z}_j)$:

Pierluigi's list of open problems

In a talk given in 2011 (video on IAS website),

- Analyticity in z inside the dipole phase and Borel summability on the KT line.
- Extension to other models discussed by Fröhlich–Spencer?
 XY, Villain, discrete Gaussian, Z_n-clock, and solid-on-solid.
- Equivalence of Coulomb gas and other 2D probabilitic models at criticality: Ashkin–Teller, six-vertex, Q-state and antiferromagnetic Potts model, O(n)-models including self-avoiding walk.

Fröhlich, J. and Spencer, T. (1981). The Kosterlitz-Thouless transition in two-dimensional abelian spin systems and the Coulomb gas. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 81(4):527–602

Nienhuis, B. (1987)). Coulomb gas formulation of two-dimensional phase transitions. In Domb, C. and Lebowitz, J., editors, *Phase Transitions and Critical Phenomena*, volume 11, New York. Academic Press

Further References

Brydges, D. and Martin, P. A. (1999). Coulomb systems at low density: a review. *J. Statist. Phys.*, 96(5-6):1163–1330

Fröhlich, J. and Park, Y. (1978). Correlation inequalities and the thermodynamic limit for classical and quantum continuous systems. *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 59:235–266

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?