# Topological invariants of Floquet systems

Krzysztof Gawędzki, IHP, November 2015

dedicated to Vincent Rivasseau for the 60th anniversary



## • Topological insulators

Materials with topological order that are insulators in their interior but have edges carrying protected conducting states

## • Simplest setup

 $1^{st}$  quantized models on infinite crystalline lattice  $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathbf{E}^d$ 

## • Bloch theory

• Diagonalization of discret translations by **Fourier** transform gives the space of states

$$L^2(\mathbb{T}^d,\mathbf{C}^N)$$





where  $\mathbb{T}^d$  is the **Brillouin** torus of quasi-momenta  $k \mod \frac{2\pi}{a} \mathbb{Z}^d$ 

• The evolution is governed by lattice **Hamiltonian** H that in the **Bloch** picture is block-diagonal

 $(H\psi)(k) = H(k) \psi(k)$ 

for  $\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{T}^d, \mathbb{C}^N)$  where H(k) are  $N \times N$  hermitian matrices smoothly dependent on  $k \in \mathbb{T}^d$ 

• Insulators have a gap in the spectrum of H(k) around the Fermi energy  $\epsilon_F$  separating eigenvalues  $e_n(k) < \epsilon_F$  from  $e_n(k) > \epsilon_F$ 



## • Chern topological insulators

- Spectral projectors P(k) on  $e_n(k) < \epsilon_F$  smoothly depend on  $k \in \mathbb{T}^d$
- Subspaces  $\mathcal{E}(k) = P(k) \mathbb{C}^N \subset \mathbb{C}^N$  form a vector bundle  $\mathcal{E}$
- The simplest topological invariant for 2d insulators (like doped graphene) is the 1<sup>st</sup> Chern number of  $\mathcal{E}$

$$c_1(\mathcal{E}) = rac{\mathrm{i}}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \operatorname{tr} P(k) \left( dP(k) \right)^{\wedge 2} \in \mathbb{Z}$$

•  $\frac{i}{2\pi} \operatorname{tr} P(dP)^2 \propto$  to the Berry curvature is the simplest example of a characteristic class

• Bulk-edge correspondence:  $c_1(\mathcal{E})$  counts with chirality the massless states at the boundary components of a bounded crystal with energies in the bulk gap



## • Floquet generalization of Chern insulators

- Perturbation periodic in time (e.g. due to a microwave) lead to time-dependent Hamiltonians H(t) = H(t + T)
- The evolution operators  $i\partial_t U(t) = H(t) U(t)$ , U(0) = I, satisfy U(t+T) = U(t) U(T)
- Floquet theory: spectral analysis of U(T, k) with eigenvalues  $e^{-ie_n(k)T}$  replaces that of static Bloch Hamiltonians H(k)
- Quasi-energies  $e_n(k)$  are defined modulo  $\frac{2\pi}{T} \Rightarrow$  quasi-energy bands repeat themselves periodically

- gapped Floquet system: U(T, k) have a spectral gap around quasi-energy  $\epsilon$  for all k
- Gap-dependent static **effective Hamiltonians**

$$H_{\epsilon}(k) = \frac{i}{T} \ln_{-\epsilon T} U(T, k)$$

$$\swarrow \text{ cut at argument}$$

 $-\epsilon T$ 

satisfy  $U(T,k) = e^{-iTH_{\epsilon}(k)}$  and are used to periodize the evolution:

$$V_{\epsilon}(t,k) = U(t,k) e^{it H_{\epsilon}(k)} = V_{\epsilon}(t+T,k)$$

• Let  $\chi = \frac{1}{12\pi} \operatorname{tr}(g^{-1}dg)^{\wedge 3}$  be a closed 3-form on U(N)**Rudner-Lindner-Berg-Levin** (2013) considered the "degree"

$$W_{\epsilon} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} V_{\epsilon}^* \chi \in \mathbb{Z}$$

of  $V_{\epsilon}$  as a dynamical **invariant** of 2d Floquet systems

• For 
$$0 < \epsilon < \epsilon' < \frac{2\pi}{T}$$

$$H_{\epsilon'}(k) - H_{\epsilon}(k) = \frac{2\pi}{T} P_{\epsilon,\epsilon'}(k)$$

 $P_{\epsilon,\epsilon'}(k)$  - spectral proj. of U(T,k) on quasi-energies  $\epsilon < e_n(k) < \epsilon'$ 

- Implies that  $W_{\epsilon'} W_{\epsilon} = c_1(\mathcal{E}_{\epsilon,\epsilon'})$
- $W_{\epsilon}$  counts with chirality the edge states of U(T) in the bulk quasi-energy gap around  $\epsilon$



## • Time-reversal invariant topological insulators

- Time reversal sends  $\psi(k)$  to  $\theta\psi(-k)$  where  $\theta$  is an anti-unitary operator in  $\mathbb{C}^N$  s.t.  $\theta^2 = -I$  (N must be even)
- For time-reversal invariant (TRI) systems

 $\theta H(k) \theta^{-1} = H(-k)$ 

• Eigenvectors of H(k) and of H(-k) come then in Kramers' pairs  $\psi_n(k)$  and  $\theta \psi_n(k)$  with the same energy



• TRI implies that  $\theta P(k)\theta^{-1} = P(-k) \Rightarrow c_1(\mathcal{E}) = 0$  $\Rightarrow \quad \mathcal{E}$  is trivializable so that  $\exists$  a global frame

$$(\psi_i(k)), \ \ i=1,\ldots,2m$$

- Kane-Mele (2005) defined an invariant  $\operatorname{KM}(\mathcal{E}) \in \mathbb{Z}_2$  obstructing the choice of a global frame of  $\mathcal{E}$  composed of Kramers' pairs
- Let  $w_{ij}(k) = \langle \psi_i(-k) | \theta \psi_j(k) \rangle = -w_{ji}(-k)$  ("sewing matrix") Obstruction KM( $\mathcal{E}$ ) was given by the expression (Fu-Kane 2006)

$$(-1)^{\mathrm{KM}(\mathcal{E})} = \prod_{\substack{4 \text{ TRIM} \\ k = -k \in \mathbb{T}^2}} \frac{\sqrt{\det(w(k))}}{\mathrm{pf}(w(k))} - \frac{\pi}{a} = 0$$

 KM(E) counts modulo 2 the number of Kramers' pairs of massless edge states of opposite chirality (Graf-Porta 2013)

## • Questions

- Are there characteristic-class-type integral formulae for  $\operatorname{KM}(\mathcal{E})$ ?
- How to generalise  $KM(\mathcal{E})$  to TRI Floquet systems?

#### • Interlude I. Wess-Zumino amplitudes and their square root

•  $\forall \phi : \mathbb{T}^2 \to U(N)$ .  $\exists$  its extension  $\tilde{\phi} : \mathcal{B} \to U(N)$  to an oriented 3-manifold  $\mathcal{B}$  with  $\partial \mathcal{B} = \mathbb{T}^2$  such that

$$\exp\left[\mathrm{i}\int_{\mathcal{B}}\widetilde{\phi}^{*}\chi\right] \equiv \mathrm{e}^{iS_{\mathrm{WZ}}(\phi)}$$

is independent of the choice of the extension and defines the 2dWess-Zumino amplitude of  $\phi$  (Witten 1983)



• Suppose that  $\phi$  is TRI

$$\phi(-k) = \theta \phi(k) \theta^{-1}$$

 $\exists \mathcal{B} \text{ with } \partial \mathcal{B} = \mathbb{T}^2 \text{ with an orientation preserving involution } \vartheta$ reducing to  $k \mapsto -k$  on  $\partial \mathcal{B}$  and an extension  $\phi : \mathcal{B} \to U(N)$  of  $\phi$ s. t.

$$\widetilde{\phi}(\vartheta(x)) = \theta \, \widetilde{\phi}(x) \, \theta^{-1}$$

and

$$\exp\left[\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\int_{\mathcal{B}}\widetilde{\phi}^{*}\chi\right] \equiv \sqrt{\mathrm{e}^{iS}\mathrm{WZ}^{(\phi)}}$$

is independent of the choice of  $\phi$  and defines **the** square root of the **Wess-Zumino** amplitude of  $\phi$ 

**Proof.** By direct construction and checking

## • Interlude II. 3d index

• Let  $\Psi : \mathbb{T}^3 \to U(N)$  be such that

 $\Psi(-k) = \theta \Psi(k) \theta^{-1}$ 

Let  $\mathcal{N} \subset \mathbb{T}^3$  be a half of  $\mathbb{T}^3$  forming a fundamental domain of  $k \mapsto -k$ 

Proposition.

$$\mathcal{K}(\Psi) \equiv \frac{\exp\left[\frac{i}{2}\int_{\mathcal{N}}\Psi^{*}\chi\right]}{\sqrt{\mathrm{e}^{iS}\mathrm{WZ}(\Psi|_{\partial\mathcal{N}})}} \in \{\pm 1\}$$

does not depend on choice of  $\mathcal{N}$ 

**Proof.** By gerbe techniques using local expressions for  $\sqrt{e^{iS}WZ}$ 

 $\mathcal{N}$ 

ON

Ψ

*U*(2*M*)

- Integral formulae for  $KM(\mathcal{E})$ 
  - One has the relation (apparently unknown)

$$(-1)^{\mathrm{KM}(\mathcal{E})} = \mathrm{e}^{iS_{\mathrm{WZ}}(w)}$$
  
sewing matrix

• Let for a family of the valence band projectors P(k)  $\Psi_P(t,k) = e^{itP(k)} = \Psi_P(t+2\pi,k)$  $\phi_P(k) = \Psi_P(\pi,k) = I - 2P(k)$ 

If  $P(-k) = \theta P(k) \theta^{-1}$  then

$$(-1)^{\mathrm{KM}(\mathcal{E})} = \sqrt{\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}S}\mathrm{WZ}(\phi_P)} = \mathcal{K}(\Psi_P)$$

## • Floquet generalization of $KM(\mathcal{E})$

joint work with **D. Carpentier**, **P. Delplace**, **M. Fruchart** and **C. Tauber**, PRL **114** (2015), 106806 and Nucl. Phys. B **896** (2015), 779-834

• For TRI periodic systems with H(t) = H(t+T) s. t.  $H(-t) = \theta H(t)\theta^{-1}$  the periodized evolution satisfies

$$V_{\epsilon}(-t,-k) = \theta V_{\epsilon}(t,k) \theta^{-1}$$

 $\Rightarrow$  in 2d the **RLBL** index  $W_{\epsilon} = 0$ 

• We defined in this case the dynamical topological index  $K_{\epsilon} \in \mathbb{Z}_2$  by

$$(-1)^{K_{\epsilon}} = \mathcal{K}(V_{\epsilon})$$

• The **RLBL** relation  $W_{\epsilon'} - W_{\epsilon} = c_1(\mathcal{E}_{\epsilon,\epsilon'})$  is replaced now by

$$K_{\epsilon'} - K_{\epsilon} = \operatorname{KM}(\mathcal{E}_{\epsilon,\epsilon'})$$

•  $K_{\epsilon}$  should count modulo 2 the **Kramers**'s **pairs** of edge states of U(T) inside the bulk quasi-energy gap labeled by  $\epsilon$ 



## • Extension to 3d

- Strong Fu-Kane-Mele invariant (2007)  $\text{KM}^{s}(\mathcal{E})$  is given by the same formula as in 2d but with 8 TRIM
- Relation to **Chern-Simons** amplitudes (magnetoelectric polarizability)

$$(-1)^{\mathrm{KM}^{s}(\mathcal{E})} = \exp\left[\mathrm{i}\int_{\mathbb{T}^{3}} S_{\mathrm{CS}}(A^{B})\right]$$

Berry connection

• New integral expression using our 3d index:

$$(-1)^{\mathrm{KM}^{s}(\mathcal{E})} = \mathcal{K}(\phi_{P})$$

• Strong invariant for **3***d* **Floquet** systems:

$$(-1)^{K_{\epsilon}^{s}} = \mathcal{K}(V_{\epsilon}|_{t=\frac{T}{2}}), \qquad K_{\epsilon'}^{s} - K_{\epsilon}^{s} = \mathrm{KM}^{s}(\mathcal{E}_{\epsilon,\epsilon'})$$

• Main remaining open problem: extending the relations to WZ amplitudes to the bulk-edge correspondence

## Many happy returns, Vincent